IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXASOUS MAY -9 PM 2: 34 **EL PASO DIVISION**

CONOTECH, LLC, a Nevada limited liability corporation,	GUGE KATHLEEN CARDONE (14)		
Plaintiff,) CIVIL ACTION NO.		
v. ACCURATE MACHINERY SALES, INC., a	EP06CA0169		
Florida corporation, Defendant.			

PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT

Plaintiff Conotech, LLC ("Conotech"), by and through its undersigned counsel, files its Original Complaint against Accurate Machinery Sales, Inc. ("AMS"), and for cause of action states:

A. THE PARTIES

- 1. Conotech is a Nevada limited liability corporation with its principal place of business at Calle Chimeneas 4499, Parque Industrial Juarez, Ciudad Juarez, Chihuahua, Mexico 32630.
- AMS is a Florida corporation with its principal place of business at 215 S.W. 21st 2. Terrace, Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33312. AMS may be served by service upon its registered agent, John M. Ruppert, 215 S.W. 21st Terrace, Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33312.

B. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

3. This Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a)(1) because the matter in controversy exceeds \$75,000.00, exclusive of interest and costs, and is between citizens of different states.

Venue is proper in the Western District of Texas under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(a)(2) 4. because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in this district.

C. FACTS

- 5. In September, 2005, Conotech contacted AMS to discuss the possibility of purchasing a Trumpf dual pallet flying optic laser center (the "laser"). On September 12, 2005, Conotech co-owner Henry Coletti ("Coletti"), on behalf of Conotech, signed a sales contract (the "contract") to purchase the laser for \$235,000.00. A true and correct copy of the contract is attached hereto as "Exhibit A" and incorporated herein as if fully set forth verbatim.
- 6. Per the contract, on September 23, 2005, Conotech made a \$35,000.00 deposit toward the purchase price of the laser, and paid another \$216,200.00 to AMS on November 2, 2005, prior to delivery of the laser.
- AMS subsequently shipped the laser to El Paso, Texas ("El Paso"), where it arrived on November 18, 2005. Conotech transported the laser to Ciudad Juarez, Chihuahua, Mexico ("Juarez") the following week.
- 8. On or about November 25, 2005, Conotech contacted AMS to arrange for installation of the laser and emphasized to AMS that Conotech's production plant could only open once the laser was installed. AMS scheduled the installation to begin on or about December 26, 2005. On December 14, 2005, AMS notified Conotech that the installer would not be available until January 16, 2006. Conotech advised AMS that the January 16, 2006 date was unacceptable because the delay would hold up production at a substantial cost to Conotech. Between January 9, 2006 and January 13, 2006, Conotech made numerous telephone calls to AMS to verify the installation date of January 16, 2006. On January 13, 2006, AMS notified

Filed 05/09/2006

Conotech that the installer may be delayed. The installer did not arrive on the scheduled date of the installation, January 16, 2006. On January 17, 2006, Conotech's attorney sent a letter to AMS demanding installation of the laser. AMS contacted Conotech on January 18, 2006 and promised the installer would arrive in El Paso on February 6, 2006.

The installer, George Devlin ("Devlin") and his assistant arrived in El Paso, and 9. Conotech drove them to its plant in Juarez on February 6, 2006. Devlin inspected the laser and gave Conotech a checklist of items that needed to be completed before the laser could be installed. He then went back to El Paso. Conotech completed the checklist by 1:00 p.m. on February 7, 2006. On February 7, 2006, Devlin refused to return to Juarez to complete the installation. Conotech informed AMS that Devlin refused to complete the installation. On February 14, 2006, Conotech found another installer, Kevin Crane ("Crane") with Electro-Optic Services, LLC who agreed to install the laser. Crane arrived in Juarez on February 23, 2006 to install the laser. On February 24, 2006, Crane notified Conotech that the resonator in the laser was inoperable and would have to be replaced. Conotech immediately ordered a new resonator at a cost of \$49,468.13. Upon further inspection, Crane discovered other serious defects in the laser, including dangerous wiring that could have caused serious harm or death if someone had attempted to operate the laser. On March 1, 2006, Conotech received the new resonator, and Crane began installing the laser. Despite working on installation since March 1, 2006, the numerous defects in the laser have prevented a complete installation of the laser to date. Additionally, Conotech has incurred costs of \$49,468.13 for the replacement resonator, \$15,661.55 for other replacement parts, and \$47,332.08 for installation.

792353 v1

3

D. COUNT 1 – BREACH OF CONTRACT

- On September 12, 2005, Conotech and AMS entered into a written sales contract. See Exhibit A. The contract provided that Conotech would provide \$35,000 as a deposit upon signed acceptance of the contract, and the remaining \$200,000 of the purchase price prior to shipment of the laser. The contract further provided that AMS would deliver the laser to Juarez complete with all parts necessary to make the laser operational and provide a technician to start the laser, run test parts to ensure the laser was working properly, and provide three days of training on the laser. Upon delivery of the laser to Juarez, the contract provided that Conotech would unload and place the laser, supply electrical, air and gases, and provide employees to help with all the non-technical aspects of the installation of the laser. Conotech has performed its obligations under the contract. AMS, however, has not performed any of its contractual obligations. AMS's nonperformance constitutes a breach of the parties' agreement.
- 11. As a direct and proximate result of AMS's breach, Conotech has suffered the following damages:
 - a. Reasonable expenses in reliance on AMS's performance of the contract.
 - b. Replacement cost of technician to properly install the laser.
 - c. Replacement cost of equipment critical to making the laser operational.
 - d. Lost profits of approximately \$5,285.00 each day installation of the laser has been and continues to be delayed.
- 12. As a result of AMS's breach, Conotech has retained counsel and seeks reimbursement for its reasonable attorney fees.

792353 v1

4

E. COUNT 2 – BREACH OF WARRANTY

Document 1

- The contract contained an express warranty that AMS would supply all parts 13. necessary to make the laser operational. AMS failed to supply the necessary parts and, therefore, breached this express warranty. In addition, AMS breached the implied warranty of merchantability of the laser because the laser was not operational when received from AMS and was, therefore, not fit for the ordinary purposes for which such lasers are used.
- 14. As a direct and proximate result of AMS's breach, Conotech has suffered the following damages:
 - Reasonable expenses in reliance on AMS's performance of the contract. a.
 - Replacement cost of technician to properly install the laser. **b**.
 - Replacement cost of equipment critical to making the laser operational. c.
 - Lost profits of approximately \$5,285.00 each day installation of the laser d. has been and continues to be delayed.
- As a result of AMS's breach, Conotech has retained counsel and seeks 15. reimbursement for its reasonable attorney fees.

F. COUNT 3 - FRAUD

In their September, 2005 telephone conversations, John Ruppert, AMS's 16. president, specifically stated to Coletti that AMS would supply to Conotech a fully operational laser and the technical support required for installation. Coletti attempted to purchase a Bystronic laser from AMS, but was told that unit was sold. Ruppert stated that the Trumpf laser was as good as the Bystronic unit and that Conotech would be extremely happy with it. Ruppert also stated that the resonator had been totally rebuilt, and AMS had it operational. These statements were false and misleading because the laser contained numerous defective parts,

792353 v1

5

Filed 05/09/2006

including the resonator, and was not operational. The statements were made with the intention to induce Conotech to enter into the contract for purchase of the laser. As a direct and proximate result of these misrepresentations, Conotech entered into the agreement and suffered the following damages:

- Reasonable expenses in reliance on AMS's performance of the contract. a.
- b. Replacement cost of technician to properly install the laser.
- Replacement cost of equipment critical to making the laser operational. c.
- d. Lost profits of approximately \$5,285.00 each day installation of the laser has been and continues to be delayed.
- 17. As a result of AMS's fraudulent misrepresentations, Conotech has retained counsel and seeks reimbursement for its reasonable attorney fees.

G. REQUEST FOR RELIEF

For these reasons, Conotech asks for judgment against AMS for the following:

- Damages of approximately \$985,000.00 resulting from Defendant's breach. a.
- b. Reasonable attorney fees.
- Prejudgment and postjudgment interest. c.
- Costs of suit. d.
- All further relief the Court deems appropriate. e.

This 3^{14} day of May, 2006.

Respectfully submitted,

Filed 05/09/2006

SCOTT, HULSE, MARSHALL, FEUILLE, FINGER & THURMOND, P.C.

mailing address:

P.O. Box 99123

El Paso, Texas 79999-9123

street address:

1100 Chase Tower

201 East Main Drive

El Paso, Texas 79901

(915) 533-2493 telephone

(915) 546-8333 telecopier

By:

J. L. JAY

State Bar No. 10595320

KATARI D. BUCK

State Bar No. 24040598

Attorneys for Conotech, LLC

Filed 05/09/2006

4,200,00

251, 200,00

(35,000,00)

Richard De Santos

John Ruppert [jruppert@accuratemachinerysales.com] From:

Monday, September 12, 2005 3:07 PM Sent:

richardd@nrsupply.com To:

jruppert@accuratemachinerysales.com

235,000.00 LASER Subject: Trumph Laser

LIFT 12,000.00

John Ruppert Add easing Accurate Machinery Sales, Inc.

215 SW 21st Terrace Fort Lauderdale, FL. 33312 www.accuratemachinerysales.com

Ph. 954-587-0362 Depusit Fax 954-587-6211

Henry has signed and accepted this and says the deposit should come from you.

Our bank info is:

PLEASE WIRE TRANSFER ANY DEPOSITS OR FINAL PAYMENTS TO;

COLONIAL BANK NA

1451 NW 62ND STREET, SUITE 212

FT. LAUDERDALE, FL. 33309

ABA # 0620-0131-9

FOR CREDIT TO THE ACCOUNT OF;

ACCURATE MACHINERY SALES INC,

215 SW 21ST TERRACE

FORT LAUDERDALE, FLORIDA 33312

ACCOUNT # 0110882401

ACCURATE MACHINERY SALES INC.

215 SW 21ST TERRACE, FORT LAUDERDALE, FL. 33312 800-281-7518, 954-587-0362, FAX 954-587-6211 www.accuratemachinerysales.com

email: ams@accuratemachinerysales.com

PROFORMA 91605-01

9/12/2005

Conotech 7125 Industrial El Paso, TX. 79915

DESCRIPTION

One	Trumpf System model L2503EII serial number 902894 complete with 2600 watt resonator, CNC control, chiller, shuttle table, manuals and all other parts necessary to make the machine operational						
PRICE-	PRICE\$235,000.00						
Trumpi	E liftmaster semi automatic loading arm (OPTIONAL)\$ 12,000.00						
TERMS							
(A) (B) (C) (D)	\$35,000.00 deposit upon signed acceptance. \$200,000.00 prior to shipping. AMS INC. will deliver the machine to Juarez, MX., the buyer will unload, place the machine, supply electrical/air, gases (vaporizer if necessary) and provide employees to help with all the non technical aspects of the installation. AMS, Inc. will provide a technician to start up the machine, and run test parts to insure the machine is running Properly and 3 days of training. This machine is sold free and clear of all liens and encumbrances and title will pass to the buyer upon payment in full.						
(F) Accept	This offer is subject to prior sale.						
	(LS) Date:						

TERMS: Cash or Cashiers Check with order and all equipment is FOB on floor from sold location unless otherwise stated. All equipment subject to prior sales and is exclusive of any government tax. Seller is not responsible for any shipping or parts delays beyond our control. Equipment descriptions are intended only as a guide and their accuracy is not guaranteed. - CONDITIONS: The equipment is sold "AS IS WHERE IS", there are no EXPRESS OR IMPLIED warranties which extend beyond the description on the face hereof. Quotation subject to change without notice. All agreements and/or contracts made by sales person are subject to approval. - EQUIPMENT SAFETY: It is the buyer's/user's responsibility to provide proper safety devices and equipment to safeguard persons from harm resulting from any particular use, operation or set-up, and to adequately safeguard equipment to conform to all Federal, State, Local Government Safety Standards and all Industrial Standards. - INDEMNIFICATION: Buyer/User agrees to indemnify and hold harmless Seller and Salespersons from any and all claims or liabilities caused by or arising from the equipment on the face hereof. ATTORNEY'S FEES: Buyer agrees to pay all cost of collection including attorney's, trial and appellate fees in the event of any litigation which arises from this agreement. APPLICABLE LAW: This agreement shall be a Florida contract and shall be interpreted and administered for all purposes under the laws of Florida. ENTIRE AGREEMENT: This document represents the entire agreement between seller and buyer unless otherwise stated on the face hereof.

TRUMPF DUAL PALLET FLYING OPTIC LASER CENTER

MODEL NUMBER
YEAR OF MFG.
SERIAL NUMBER
CONTROL
SHEET CAPACITY
Z AXIS TRAVEL
TABLE SPEED RAPID

L2503E-II 1995 (New resonator in 2001) 902894 BOSCH TRUMAGRAPH CC 220 60" X 120" 3.9" NON CONTACT 2362 IPM, 3300 SIMULTANIOUS EQUIPPED WITH: AUTOMATIC SHUTTLE PALLETS, FLYING OPTICS, 32 BIT CONTROL, AC SERVO DRIVE SYSTEM, NON CONTACT CUTTING HEAD, PROGRAMMABLE HIGH PRESSURE ASSIST GAS (7-350 PSI), CHILLER.

LASER SPECIFICATIONS

LASER RESONATOR MFG. HOURS POWER CAPACITY GATING FREQUENCY

TRUMPF TLF 2600, (New 2001) 9600 2600 WATT CW, 100HZ - 10KHZ

PRICE

\$235,000.00

SJS 44 (Rev. 11/04)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

the civil docket sheet. (SEE 114	STRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORME,					
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS			
Conotech, LLC, a	Nevada limited liability corporation	on	Accurate Machinery Sales, Inc., a Florida corporation			
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Carson City, Nevad (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED.			
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)			Attorneys (If Known)			
See attached.			Unknown			
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	III. CI	L TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff	
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)	1	(For Diversity Cases Only)	TF DEF 1 □ 1 Incorporated or Proof Business In This	and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF incipal Place	
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	■ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)	Citize	Citizen of Another State			
			en or Subject of a reign Country	3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box Only) TORTS	Iron	FEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment ∞ Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits ■ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise ■ REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY □ 310 Airplane □ 315 Airplane Product Liability □ 320 Assault, Libel & □ PERSONAL INJUR □ 362 Personal Injury Med. Malpractice Med. Malpractice Product Liability Product Liability	RY	10 Agriculture 20 Other Food & Drug 25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 30 Liquor Laws 40 R.R. & Truck 50 Airline Regs. 60 Occupational Safety/Health 90 Other LABOR 10 Fair Labor Standards Act 20 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 30 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting & Disclosure Act 40 Railway Labor Act 90 Other Litigation 91 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 □ PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 840 Trademark □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g)) □ FEDERAL TAX SUITS □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	□ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 810 Selective Service □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange □ 875 Customer Challenge □ 12 USC 3410 □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 892 Economic Stabilization Act □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 894 Energy Allocation Act □ 895 Freedom of Information Act □ 900Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice □ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
Original 2 R	tate Court Appellate Court	J 4 Rein	stated or another		Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you a 28 USC 1332(a)(1) Brief description of cause: breach of sales contract, breach of w		•	al statutes unless diversity):		
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.C.P. 23		EMAND\$ \$985,000.	00 CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint:	
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions): JUDGE			DOCKET NUMBER		
DATE 05/09/	SIGNATURE OF AS	ITORNEY (OF RECORD			
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT #241624 A	MOUNT \$350,00 APPLYING IEP		IIIDGE	MAG IUD	CE	

CIVIL COVER SHEET ATTACHMENT

I(c). Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)

J.L. Jay Katari D. Buck

Scott, Hulse, Marshall, Feuille, Finger & Thurmond, P.C.

mailing address:

P.O. Box 99123 El Paso, Texas 79999-9123

street address:

1100 Chase Tower 201 East Main Drive El Paso, Texas 79901

(915) 533-2493 telephone (915) 546-8333 telecopier